

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/996,053	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CRANFORD ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jean B. Corrielus	<b>Art Unit</b> 2637	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jean B. Corrielus.

(2) Patrick J Daugherty.

**Date of Interview:** 27 July 2005

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

N/A

**Claims discussed:**

2, 3, 10, 15 and 16

**Prior art documents discussed:**

N/A

**Part II.**


**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's rep. agrees to amend the above claims as indicated in the attached examiner's amendment. Such amendment is necessary to correct for some informalities so as to place th application in better form for allowance*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**JEAN B. CORRIELUS**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

8/20/05

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)